

General Practice Information Technology (GPIT) Group

Scanning and Shredding Documents: a discussion paper

File Name: Scan_Shred_v0_3.pdf

Version number: 0.3

Date: 9/1/2008

Status: draft for discussion

Authors: GPIT Group

Distribution: public domain

1. Table of Contents

1. Table of Contents	2
2. Document History	2
2.1 Document Creation	2
2.2 Document Distribution.....	2
3. Request For Comments	3
4. The Paper Environment	4
5. The Management Of Scanning	4
5.1 Attribution	4
5.2 Workflow	5
6. File Standards.....	5
7. Audit Trail.....	6
8. Shredding	6
9. Backup	7
9.1 Hard Disk Crash.....	7
9.2 Backup Plan	7
10. References.....	7

2. Document History

2.1 Document Creation

Date	Version	Authors
13/3/2007	0.1	GPIT project manager, co-ordinator and facilitators
24/4/2007	0.2	Brian O'Mahony
9/1/2008	0.3	Contributions from Dr Brian Meade, Mr John McDonnell, Mr Brendan Murphy & Mr Patrick O'Neill

2.2 Document Distribution

Date	Version	Distribution
13/3/2007	0.1	GPIT Group
24/4/2007	0.2	Public domain
9/1/2008	0.3	Public domain

3. Request For Comments

In the transition from paper to electronic records the management of scanned documents and in particular the question of whether or not to shred documents is important for health care professionals and patients. This is a draft discussion paper on document scanning and shredding in Irish general practice. We would like to hear your opinions. This draft document is subject to change and should not be implemented as a working guideline until it has been issued as a final document. The GPIT Group is happy to have comments, corrections and feedback on this document.

Please write, fax or email your comments to:

Dr Brian O'Mahony
GPIT Project Manager
Convent Road, Lismore
County Waterford, Ireland
Phone 058 54255, Fax: 058 53474
Email bom@iol.ie

If possible, please indicate the document version number, section and paragraph you are commenting on and propose new wording.

Thank you.

GPIT Co-ordinator

Dr Brian Meade

GPIT Project Manager

Dr Brian O'Mahony

GPIT Facilitators

Dr Donal Buckley: HSE Dublin / Mid Lenister

Dr Fergus McKeagney: HSE Dublin / Mid Lenister

Dr John Cox: HSE Southern Area

Dr Anne Lynott: HSE Dublin / North East

Dr Frank Hill: HSE Southern Area

Dr Kieran Murphy: HSE Southern Area

Dr Jack MacCarthy: HSE Western Area

Dr Barry O'Donovan: HSE Western Area

Dr John Sweeney: HSE Western Area

Dr Martin White: HSE Dublin / North East

4. The Paper Environment

All organisations today operate in a world which is dominated by technology. In the past all patient records were paper, in the future all patient records will be electronic. Right now we are in transition, in what is generally known as a hybrid situation. Our emphasis should be on reducing the number of documents we send and receive in paper format. Major improvements have been achieved in the last five years, particularly in respect of electronic messaging of laboratory results and radiology results. The range and availability of electronic messages needs to be expanded. One type of document, for instance, that continues to be sent in paper format is discharge and outpatient letters from hospitals to general practice and there is certainly potential for digitising this particular process.

In considering how to manage paper records it is important to make the distinction between old and new records. The general practitioner who takes over a practice and finds him or her self in possession of paper records going back many years may decide to scan the records of active patients into the practice management system but would be well advised to keep the old paper records. A practice which is receiving discharge letters on current patients each day and is confident that their handling of scanned documents achieves these guidelines can scan and shred such documents.

5. The Management Of Scanning

Every practice manages paper differently. Differences include who opens the post, who reviews the documents, who comments on the documents, who actions the documents, how they are stored, how long they are kept and what happens when staff are on holidays. Each practice needs to review their handling of paper, streamline it and agree a practice workflow for scanning.

5.1 Attribution

Each scanned document should be linked to an entry in the patient electronic record. The entry should indicate:

- The date of the original document;
- The nature of the original document, for example: discharge letter, outpatient clinic letter, letter from speech and language therapist, etc.
- The author of the original document, for example: Dr Michael Smith Consultant Cardiologist;
- The institution of the original document, for example, Department of Cardiology, St Elsewhere's Hospital, Dublin;
- Any note or comment that the doctor or nurse wishes to make about the document;
- The date the document was scanned;
- The identity of the person scanning the document;

The last two items above could be generated by the software system based on the current date and the user log on. To facilitate rapid and efficient capture of the complete

attribution information it is necessary for the practice software to provide a user friendly interface.

5.2 Workflow

This is a discussion document and does not seek to be prescriptive on how a general practitioner should sign off on documents. It is good practice to indicate who has reviewed and acted on a document or report. The following description of a workflow process for review and comment may be useful to GPs and practice software vendors.

All appropriate practice staff should review and comment on the scanned document. One person, normally the general practitioner or practice nurse caring for the patient, should carry out any actions necessary and sign off on the scanned document. The actions required could include:

- Contacting or reviewing the patient:
 - Phone the patient;
 - Schedule an appointment for the patient;
 - Write to the patient;
 - Send an SMS text message to the patient;
- Patient referral:
 - For a consultant opinion;
 - For a diagnostic test;
- Prescription:
 - Issue a prescription;
 - Change medication;

Scanned documents should remain active until one of the general practitioners or a designated practice nurse has signed off on the document. Procedures should be agreed for signing off documents when staff are on leave and for review of documents by staff who return from leave.

6. File Standards

A scanned document is an image or electronic photograph of the original. File types such as Tag Image File Format (TIFF) and Joint Photographic Experts Group (JPEG) are acceptable for image files. Sometimes the process goes a step further and optical character recognition (OCR) is used to convert the image to text. Optical character recognition is not 100% accurate. The gold standard for scanned documents is the image file. OCR without storage of the original image is not acceptable.

Method	Acceptable?
Storage of image file in TIFF or JPEG format	Yes
OCR with no storage of original image	No
OCR with storage of original image file	Yes

Table 1 Acceptable image file standards

The minimum resolution for scanned images is 300 dpi. Higher resolution may be used as necessary to achieve high quality images or for specific image types. While there are no

quality standards for images, care should be taken to provide the best quality available from the original. 400-600 dpi resolution is recommended for color and halftone photographs.

7. Audit Trail

The audit trail tracks what happens to the electronic patient record. This includes logging any additions or changes or deletions that are made to it. The scanned document and its linked clinical entry must be monitored by the audit trail and any changes made after initial scanning logged. The audit trail may also log who views a record or scanned document and when they viewed it.

8. Shredding

To shred or not to shred, that is the question. In an ideal world a practice would scan all documents into the electronic patient record and archive the originals. The reality of storage and archive costs means that this is not possible. Section 22 of the Electronic Commerce Act 2000 deals with the admissibility of evidence and states:

In any legal proceedings, nothing in the application of the rules of evidence shall apply so as to deny the admissibility in evidence of—

(a) an electronic communication, an electronic form of a document, an electronic contract, or writing in electronic form—

(i) on the sole ground that it is an electronic communication, an electronic form of a document, an electronic contract, or writing in electronic form, or

(ii) if it is the best evidence that the person or public body adducing it could reasonably be expected to obtain, on the grounds that it is not in its original form,

Thus it would be reasonable for practices to shred scanned documents providing:

- Staff are properly trained in the use of the practice management system;
- The process of scanning documents follows the recommended guidelines;
- The audit trail of the practice management system is robust;
- Safe data backup procedures are in place;

The destruction of original documents must be done in a way that ensures that they are completely and confidentially destroyed. Thus documents to be shredded must be clearly identified in the practice and a cross-cut shredder used to ensure the strips can not be reassembled. Some practices allow a delay between scanning and shredding: scan one day, check back up went ok the next day and then shred.

If third party contractors are used to destroy records by shredding, pulping or incineration then the service contract must ensure confidentiality and certificates of destruction must be obtained.

9. Backup

Three things in a practice are irreplaceable: the staff, the patients and the data. If your practice was to have a catastrophic fire or flood and these three elements were saved, then you could be up and running in 24 hours with all the information you need to continue to provide care.

9.1 Hard Disk Crash

The biggest study of hard drive failure was published by Google in February of 2007 (http://labs.google.com/papers/disk_failures.pdf). As you might imagine, Google uses a lot of hard drives, more than one hundred thousand of them. The research shows that 1.7% of disk drives failed in their first year of operation, 8% percent failed during their second year and 8.6% in their third year. If your hard drive shows a scan error then it is 39 times more likely to fail within 60 days than drives without scan errors.

9.2 Backup Plan

Retrieving data from crashed disks is expensive and often incomplete. It is critical to ensure your practice has a well defined working backup system. Focus on the risks and the consequences and then develop a plan. This should include:

- Daily backup of data;
- Taking a backup off site daily;
- Having a backup routine that allows you to go back a day, a week or a month, in case your data gets corrupted;
- Assigning one person in the practice with responsibility for backup;
- Contracting with a company to provide you with a backup system, teach your staff how to monitor it and verify that it works;

10. References

Good practice guidelines for general practice electronic records (version 3.1). Department of Health & Royal College of General Practitioners. June 2005. Available from <http://www.dh.gov.uk/assetRoot/04/11/67/07/04116707.pdf>

Protecting Personal Health Information in Ireland: Law and Practice. Peter Lennon. Cork: Oak Tree Press; 2005.

Risk Manager for GP. Kieran Doran, Ann O'Driscoll, Catherine Murray, Paula Hodson, Asim Sheikh, Siobhan Prout. Dublin: Thomson Round Hall; 2002.

Electronic Commerce Act 2000. Available from http://www.irishstatutebook.ie/2000_27.html